## Interview Summary

| - | Application No.     | Applicant(s)     |  |
|---|---------------------|------------------|--|
|   | 09/852,292          | KNOLL, ALEXANDER |  |
|   | Examiner            | Art Unit         |  |
|   | Abbas I. Abdulselam | 2629             |  |

|   | Abbas I: Abadisciaiii                            | 2025                          |                   |  |  |
|---|--|-------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO  | personnel):                                      |                               |                   |  |  |
| (1) <u>Abbas I. Abdulselam</u> .  | (3)  |                               |                   |  |  |
| (2) Gary R. Edwards   | (4)  |                               |                   |  |  |
| Date of Interview: <u>Og/</u> !4/o 7  |  |                               |                   |  |  |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2  | 2)⊡ applicant's representative                   | e]                            |                   |  |  |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:   | e)∐ No.  |                               |                   |  |  |
| Claim(s) discussed:   | 6173220  |                               |                   |  |  |
| Claim(s) discussed:  Identification of prior art discussed:  **Comparison of the comparison of the compar | pu 673220)                                       |                               |                   |  |  |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.  | g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N                       | I/A.                          |                   |  |  |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE  |  |                               |                   |  |  |
| INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  | OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY<br>ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V | / DAYS FROM 1<br>WHICHEVER IS | THIS<br>LATER, TO |  |  |
| Applicant has explained the pro   | oposed amendme                                   | ent, and                      |                   |  |  |
| the examine agrees to withd   | raw the Reject                                   | ran cepa                      | -                 |  |  |
| a submission of official  | amendment a                                      | no Then                       |                   |  |  |
| cydates Searching-  | •  |                               |                   |  |  |
| •   |  |                               |                   |  |  |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required